

Comparing Multiple Proportions

Research Objective

Research Question: Is there a relationship between which college someone is in and whether they use an apple or android phone?

Population: All BYU students.

Parameter of Interest:

- We have a lot! We want to know the proportion of students in each college/phone combination. For example, $\pi_{\text{Apple, Humanities}}$.

Sample: A convenience sample of 1648 BYU students who are in my class and completed the student survey.

Are there any issues with this study setup?

More Problem Definitions

Response Variable (y):

- Does the student have an Apple or Android phone. This is a **categorical variable** meaning it has to be one of a certain number of categories.

Explanatory Variable (x):


- The college.

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Main goal: Examine the RELATIONSHIP between College and Phone.


AppleOrAndroid	College
Apple	Engineering
Apple	Business
Android	Life
Android	Life
Apple	Life

EDA Tool #1 - Grouped Bar Charts



EDA Tool #2 - Grouped Bar Charts

Proportions



EDA Tool #3 - Tables of Counts

	Apple	Android	Sum
Business	486	27	513
CFAC	86	9	95
CMS	79	24	103
Education	114	6	120
Engineering	168	12	180
FHSS	63	11	74
Humanities	29	4	33
Life	391	33	424
Nursing	98	8	106
Sum	1514	134	1648

EDA Tool #4 - Conditional and Marginal Distributions

Main Idea: Convert counts to proportions to account for differences in count sizes

Conditional Distribution of Row Variable given Column Variable:

- proportions sum to 1 down the rows
- divide cell counts by column totals

Conditional Distribution of Column Variable given Row Variable:

- proportions sum to 1 across the columns
- divide cell counts by row totals

EDA Tool #4 - Conditional and Marginal Distributions

Marginal Distribution of Column (or Row)

- proportions sum to 1 across total column (or row)
- divide column (or row) totals by table total

Relationship between variables is probably present if conditionals are different than marginal distributions.

Cond. Dists of Col. Given Row

	Apple	Android
Business	0.947	0.053
CFAC	0.905	0.095
CMS	0.767	0.233
Education	0.950	0.050
Engineering	0.933	0.067
FHSS	0.851	0.149
Humanities	0.879	0.121
Life	0.922	0.078
Nursing	0.925	0.075
Margin (Overall)	0.919	0.081

Cond. Dists of Row Given Col.

	Apple	Android	Margin (Overall)
Business	0.321	0.201	0.311
CFAC	0.057	0.067	0.058
CMS	0.052	0.179	0.062
Education	0.075	0.045	0.073
Engineering	0.111	0.090	0.109
FHSS	0.042	0.082	0.045
Humanities	0.019	0.030	0.020
Life	0.258	0.246	0.257
Nursing	0.065	0.060	0.064

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

Of those cell phone distracted drivers, what proportion are 15-19?

- $58/456$

Is this a conditional or marginal proportion?

- Conditional

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of age for those who are cell phone distracted?

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of age for those who are cell phone distracted?

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	0.127	0.349	0.211	0.151	0.105	0.046	0.011	1

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of age for those who are cell phone distracted?

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	0.127	0.349	0.211	0.151	0.105	0.046	0.011	1
Not Distracted	0.063	0.240	0.178	0.156	0.159	0.112	0.092	1
Other Distracted	0.096	0.283	0.185	0.126	0.131	0.091	0.089	1
Margin (Overall)	0.066	0.243	0.179	0.154	0.157	0.110	0.091	1

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of distraction type for those aged 20-29?

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of distraction type for those aged 20-29?

	20-29
Cell Phone Distracted	0.013
Not Distracted	0.914
Other Distracted	0.073
Sum	1.000

Practice: Age vs. Distracted Driving

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

What is the conditional distribution of distraction type for those aged 20-29?

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Margin (Overall)
Cell Phone Distracted	0.017	0.013	0.011	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.001	0.009
Not Distracted	0.891	0.914	0.925	0.940	0.942	0.945	0.938	0.928
Other Distracted	0.091	0.073	0.065	0.051	0.052	0.052	0.061	0.063

Using the Analysis Tool

Stat 121 Analysis Tool

Exploratory Data Analysis

Normal Probability Calculator

Central Limit Theorem

Analysis for Means <

Analysis For Proportions <

>> One Proportion

>> Two Proportion

>> Chi-Square

Regression <

Chi-square

1) Dataset Selection

Data Selection

☒ Use Preexisting Dataset

☐ Upload Your Own Dataset

Select dataset:

Distracted Driving

Description: This dataset consists of information on fatal crashes in the US as categorized by age of the driver and if the driver was distracted. The goal of collecting this data is to determine if there is a relationship between age and if the driver was distracted before the crash occurred.

Sample size: 50683

☐ Display Dataset

Select This Dataset

Choose your dataset

We'll be in the chi-square section for this unit

Using the Analysis Tool

2) Select Variables

Please select which variables to put as the row and column variables.

Select Row Variable:

Distracted

Select Column Variable:

Age

It doesn't matter what you put as the row and what you put as the column

Proceed to EDA

Using the Analysis Tool

3) Exploratory Data Analysis

What graphical summaries would you like to display?

Barplot of Row Variable

Choose a graph you want to see

count

Distracted

- Cell Phone Distracted
- Not Distracted
- Other Distracted

Cell Phone Distracted Not Distracted Other Distracted

What numerical summaries would you like to calculate?

Two Way Table of Counts

Choose numbers you want to calculate (a two-way table is the best place to start)

Show entries

Search:

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Previous Next

Proceed to Statistical Inference

Using the Analysis Tool

Of those drivers aged 30-39, what proportion are not distracted?

What numerical summaries would you like to calculate?

Conditional Distribution of Row given Column

Choose “given column” because the question is asking about a specific column

Show 10 entries

Search:

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	0.0175	0.0129	0.0106	0.0088	0.006	0.0038	0.0011
Not Distracted	0.8914	0.9143	0.9248	0.9398	0.9417	0.9447	0.938
Other Distracted	0.0912	0.0728	0.0647	0.0513	0.0522	0.0515	0.0609
Sum	1.0001	1	1.0001	0.9999	0.9999	1	0.9999999999999999

Showing 1 to 4 of 4 entries

Previous

1

Next

Using the Analysis Tool

Of those “other distracted” drivers, what proportion are 60-69?

What numerical summaries would you like to calculate?

Conditional Distribution of Column given Row

Choose “given row” because the question is asking about a specific row

Show 10 entries

Search:

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	0.1272	0.3487	0.2105	0.1513	0.1053	0.0461	0.011	1.0001
Not Distracted	0.0629	0.2397	0.1781	0.1557	0.159	0.1123	0.0923	1
Other Distracted	0.0955	0.2831	0.1847	0.1261	0.1308	0.0908	0.0889	0.9999

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous

1

Next

Statistical Model (Population)

The independence population model: The choice of apple vs. android product for a student is independent of the college of the student. In other words, the two variables are independent of each other.

Back to the Phone Example

	Apple	Android	Sum
Business	486	27	513
CFAC	86	9	95
CMS	79	24	103
Education	114	6	120
Engineering	168	12	180
FHSS	63	11	74
Humanities	29	4	33
Life	391	33	424
Nursing	98	8	106
Sum	1514	134	1648

Consequences of Independent Population Model

1. Because of independence...

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\text{Apple \& Business}) &= \Pr(\text{Apple})\Pr(\text{Business}) \\ &= (1514/1648) \times (513/1648) \\ &= 0.286\end{aligned}$$

2. IF variables are independent, expected number of people in each cell:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Exp. No. of Apple/Business} &= n \times \Pr(\text{Apple})\Pr(\text{Business}) \\ &= 1648 \times 0.286 \\ &= 471.288\end{aligned}$$

Independence Model Practice

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

1. Under the independence model, what is the probability of being 15-19 and not distracted?

- $(3323/50683) \times (47055/50683) = 0.061$

Independence Model Practice

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	Sum
Cell Phone Distracted	58	159	96	69	48	21	5	456
Not Distracted	2962	11278	8382	7328	7482	5282	4341	47055
Other Distracted	303	898	586	400	415	288	282	3172
Sum	3323	12335	9064	7797	7945	5591	4628	50683

2. Under the independence model, what is the expected number of 15-19 year old drivers who are not distracted?

- $0.061 \times 50683 = 3085.132$

Using the Analysis Tool

Good news! The tool will calculate the expected counts for you. You just need to know where to look...

4) Chi-square Test

Chi-square Test of association between Distracted and Age

Expected Value Table:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	29.8974	110.9792	81.5497	70.1504	71.482	50.3028	41.6386
Not Distracted	3085.1324	11452.0337	8415.1791	7238.8737	7376.2795	5190.784	4296.7176
Other Distracted	207.9702	771.9871	567.2712	487.9759	497.2385	349.9132	289.6438

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous1Next

Chi-square Component Table $[(O - E)^2 / E]$:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	26.4157	20.7786	2.5605	0.0189	7.7139	17.0697	32.239
Not Distracted	4.9144	2.6447	0.1308	1.0973	1.5152	1.6029	0.4564
Other Distracted	43.4228	20.5693	0.6183	15.861	13.6015	10.9549	0.2017

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous1Next

Test for H_0 : There is NO relationship between Distracted and Age
Ha: There IS a relationship between Distracted and Age
Overall Chi-square (test statistic): 224.3875
p-value = 0

Hypothesis Testing

Recall the 3 steps of hypothesis testing:

- Formulate hypotheses
- See if data matches (or doesn't) match the hypotheses
- Draw a conclusions about the parameter

Hypothesis Testing

Research Question: Is there a relationship between which college someone is in and whether they use an apple or android phone?

The hypotheses:

H_0 : College and Phone are Independent

H_a : College and Phone are NOT Independent

Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

How can we compare our observed data to hypotheses?


- Compare our data to what we expect to see IF the variables are independent.

Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

How can we compare our observed data to hypotheses?

- Compare our data to what we expect to see IF the variables are independent.



Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

How can we compare our observed data to hypotheses?

- Compare our data to what we expect to see IF the variables are independent.

The χ^2 -statistic: (pronounced “kai-squared”)

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^2 &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{c=1}^C \chi_{rc}^2 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{c=1}^C \frac{(\text{Obs}_{rc} - \text{Exp}_{rc})^2}{\text{Exp}_{rc}}\end{aligned}$$

Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{c=1}^C \frac{(\text{Obs}_{rc} - \text{Exp}_{rc})^2}{\text{Exp}_{rc}}$$

Intuition

- If χ^2 is big, then the data favor H_a because what you observed is different than what you expected to observe IF H_0 was true.
- If any individual cell χ_{rc}^2 is big, then that observed count is very different from what you expected it to be if H_0 were true.


Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

Theorem. Sampling Distribution of Chi-2

If the independence model is appropriate AND all expected counts are > 5 , then the χ^2 values that you should get when sampling follows an χ^2 -distribution.

I am NOT going to get into details of what the χ^2 distribution is (it's technical) it looks like this.




Hypothesis Testing

Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses (FIRST - check to make sure all expected counts > 5)

	Apple	Android
Business	471.288	41.712
CFAC	87.275	7.725
CMS	94.625	8.375
Education	110.243	9.757
Engineering	165.364	14.636
FHSS	67.983	6.017
Humanities	30.317	2.683
Life	389.524	34.476
Nursing	97.381	8.619

Hypothesis Testing



Step 2: See if the data matches the hypotheses.

- $\chi^2 = 45.013$
- $p\text{-value} = 0$

What is your conclusion at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level?

- The data are inconsistent with the null hypothesis so we conclude that the college and phone variables are NOT independent.

Using the Analysis Tool

The tool calculates the χ^2_{rc} values for you:

4) Chi-square Test

Chi-square Test of association between Distracted and Age

Expected Value Table:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	29.8974	110.9792	81.5497	70.1504	71.482	50.3028	41.6386
Not Distracted	3085.1324	11452.0337	8415.1791	7238.8737	7376.2795	5190.784	4296.7176
Other Distracted	207.9702	771.9871	567.2712	487.9759	497.2385	349.9132	289.6438

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous 1 Next

Chi-square Component Table $[(O - E)^2 / E]$:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	26.4157	20.7786	2.5605	0.0189	7.7139	17.0697	32.239
Not Distracted	4.9144	2.6447	0.1308	1.0973	1.5152	1.6029	0.4564
Other Distracted	43.4228	20.5693	0.6183	15.861	13.6015	10.9549	0.2017

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous 1 Next

Test for H0: There is NO relationship between Distracted and Age
Ha: There IS a relationship between Distracted and Age
Overall Chi-square (test statistic): 224.3875
p-value = 0

Using the Analysis Tool

4) Chi-square Test

Chi-square Test of association between Distracted and Age

Expected Value Table:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	29.8974	110.9792	81.5497	70.1504	71.482	50.3028	41.6386
Not Distracted	3085.1324	11452.0337	8415.1791	7238.8737	7376.2795	5190.784	4296.7176
Other Distracted	207.9702	771.9871	567.2712	487.9759	497.2385	349.9132	289.6438

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous1Next

Chi-square Component Table $[(O - E)^2 / E]$:

Show 10 entries

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
Cell Phone Distracted	26.4157	20.7786	2.5605	0.0189	7.7139	17.0697	32.239
Not Distracted	4.9144	2.6447	0.1308	1.0973	1.5152	1.6029	0.4564
Other Distracted	43.4228	20.5693	0.6183	15.861	13.6015	10.9549	0.2017

Showing 1 to 3 of 3 entries

Previous1Next

Test for H0: There is NO relationship between Distracted and Age
Ha: There IS a relationship between Distracted and Age
Overall Chi-square (test statistic): 224.3875
p-value = 0

Chi-square test statistic

p-value

Following up on χ^2 Test

IF you reject H_0 , what can we say about where the relationship is? In other words, where are observed counts most different from expected counts?

- Check the individual cell χ^2 values.

Following up on χ^2 Test

Chi-square Vals			Obs. Counts		Exp. Counts			
	Apple	Android		Apple	Android		Apple	Android
Business	0.5	0.0	Business	486	471	Business	471.3	471.7
CFAC	0.0	0.0	CFAC	86	87	CFAC	87.3	87.7
CMS	2.6	2.9	CMS	79	95	CMS	94.6	94.4
Education	0.1	0.0	Education	114	110	Education	110.2	110.8
Engineering	0.0	0.0	Engineering	168	165	Engineering	165.4	165.6
FHSS	0.4	0.4	FHSS	63	68	FHSS	68.0	68.0
Humanities	0.1	0.0	Humanities	29	30	Humanities	30.3	30.7
Life	0.0	0.0	Life	391	389	Life	389.5	389.5
Nursing	0.0	0.0	Nursing	98	97	Nursing	97.4	97.6

Nuances of χ^2 Tests

1. What do we do if our expected counts aren't all > 5 ?
 - Go get more data, combined small count categories or ask a statistician.

Key Terminology

- Conditional distributions
- Chi-square test
- Marginal Distributions
- Chi-square statistics
- Side-by-side bar charts